

Novel Branching (On Integrals)

Parker Emmerson

May 2023

1 Introduction

The logical operator "not" can be defined with respect to the above expression as the operation that takes a statement of the form

$$\exists \Lambda \in R, \omega, \zeta_x \in \omega, m_x \in \infty, a_k, \Omega_k \in R, \alpha_k, \theta_k \in R \text{ such that } \forall x \in [0, \Lambda] \mathcal{X}_\Lambda = \int_0^\Lambda (\sum_{k=1}^\infty (a_k \Omega_k^\alpha + \theta_k)) \tan^{-1}(x^\omega; \zeta_x, m_x) dx$$

and negates it to the form

$$\forall \Lambda \in R, \omega, \zeta_x \in \omega, m_x \in \infty, a_k, \Omega_k \in R, \alpha_k, \theta_k \in R \text{ such that } \exists x \in [0, \Lambda] \mathcal{X}_\Lambda \neq \int_0^\Lambda (\sum_{k=1}^\infty (a_k \Omega_k^\alpha + \theta_k)) \tan^{-1}(x^\omega; \zeta_x, m_x) dx$$

$$\mathcal{X}_\Lambda = \int^\Lambda \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty (a_k \Omega_k^\alpha + \theta_k) \right) \tan^{-1}(x^\omega; \zeta_x, m_x) dx$$

$$\mathcal{X}_\Lambda = \int_\Lambda^0 \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty (a_k \Omega_k^\alpha + \theta_k) \right) \tan^{-1}(x^\omega; \zeta_x, m_x) dx$$

$$\mathcal{X}_\Lambda = \int_{-\infty}^\infty \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty (a_k \Omega_k^\alpha + \theta_k) \right) \tan^{-1}(x^\omega; \zeta_x, m_x) dx$$

$$\mathcal{X}_\Lambda = \int_{\mathcal{H}_{a_i e m}^\circ}^\Lambda \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty (a_k \Omega_k^\alpha + \theta_k) \right) \tan^{-1}(x^\omega; \zeta_x, m_x) dx$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{a_i e m}^\circ$ denotes the unknown values defined by the constants $\mu, \zeta, \delta, h_\circ, \alpha$, and i in the set R , and the relation $E \mapsto r \in R$ that the product $b.b_{\mu \in \infty \rightarrow \omega - \langle \delta + h_\circ \rangle}^{-1}$ is equal to the product $\infty.z_{\zeta \rightarrow \omega - \langle \delta / h_\circ + \alpha / i \rangle}^\emptyset$.

$$\mathcal{X}_\Lambda = \int_{\mathcal{H}_{a_i e m}^\circ}^\Lambda \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty (a_k \Omega_k^\alpha + \theta_k) \right) \tan^{-1}(x^\omega; \zeta_x, m_x) dx$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{a_{iem}}^\circ$ denotes the unknown values defined by the constants $\mu, \zeta, \delta, h_o, \alpha$, and i in the set R , and the relation $E \mapsto r \in R$ that the product $b.b_{\mu \in \infty \rightarrow \omega - \langle \delta + h_o \rangle}^{-1}$ is equal to the product $\infty.z_{\zeta \rightarrow \omega - \langle \delta/h_o + \alpha/i \rangle}^\emptyset$.

$$\mathcal{X}_\Lambda = \int_{\mathcal{H}_{a_{iem}}^\circ}^\Lambda \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty (a_k \Omega_k^{\alpha + \frac{1}{\infty}} + \theta_k) \right) \tan^{-1}(x^{f(\infty)}; \zeta_x, m_x) dx$$

where $f(\infty)$ is a function of ∞ and $\mathcal{H}_{a_{iem}}^\circ$ denotes the unknown values defined by the constants $\mu, \zeta, \delta, h_o, \alpha$, and i in the set R , and the relation $E \mapsto r \in R$ that the product $b.b_{\mu \in \infty \rightarrow \omega - \langle \delta + h_o \rangle}^{-1}$ is equal to the product $\infty.z_{\zeta \rightarrow \omega - \langle \delta/h_o + \alpha/i \rangle}^\emptyset$.

$$\mathcal{X}_\Lambda = \int_{\mathcal{H}_{a_{iem}}^\circ}^\Lambda \mathcal{I}_{\alpha + \frac{1}{\infty}, f(\infty)} \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty (a_k \Omega_k^{\alpha + \frac{1}{\infty}} + \theta_k) \right) \tan^{-1}(x^{f(\infty)}; \zeta_x, m_x) dx,$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{a_{iem}}^\circ$ denotes the unknown values defined by the constants $\mu, \zeta, \delta, h_o, \alpha$, and i in the set R , and the relation $E \mapsto r \in R$ that the product $b.b_{\mu \in \infty \rightarrow \omega - \langle \delta + h_o \rangle}^{-1}$ is equal to the product $\infty.z_{\zeta \rightarrow \omega - \langle \delta/h_o + \alpha/i \rangle}^\emptyset$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha + \frac{1}{\infty}, f(\infty)}$ is a functor defined as $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha + \frac{1}{\infty}, f(\infty)}: R \rightarrow R$ such that

$$\mathcal{I}_{\alpha + \frac{1}{\infty}, f(\infty)}(z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\alpha + \frac{1}{\infty}}} \tan^{-1}(x^{f(\infty)}; \zeta_x, m_x).$$

$$\mathcal{X}_\Lambda = \int_{\mathcal{H}_{a_{iem}}^\circ}^\Lambda \mathcal{I}_{\alpha + \frac{1}{\infty}, f(\infty)} \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty (a_k \Omega_k^{\alpha + \frac{1}{\infty}} + \theta_k) \right) \tan^{-1}(x^{f'(\infty)}; \zeta_x, m_x) dx,$$

where $f'(\infty)$ is a new, expanded function of ∞ and $\mathcal{H}_{a_{iem}}^\circ$ denotes the unknown values defined by the constants $\mu, \zeta, \delta, h_o, \alpha$, and i in the set R , and the relation $E \mapsto r \in R$ that the product $b.b_{\mu \in \infty \rightarrow \omega - \langle \delta + h_o \rangle}^{-1}$ is equal to the product $\infty.z_{\zeta \rightarrow \omega - \langle \delta/h_o + \alpha/i \rangle}^\emptyset$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha + \frac{1}{\infty}, f'(\infty)}$ is a new functor defined as $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha + \frac{1}{\infty}, f'(\infty)}: R \rightarrow R$ such that

$$\mathcal{I}_{\alpha + \frac{1}{\infty}, f'(\infty)}(z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\alpha + \frac{1}{\infty}}} \tan^{-1}(x^{f'(\infty)}; \zeta_x, m_x).$$

Let $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha + \frac{1}{\infty}, f(\infty)}$ be the functor defined as $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha + \frac{1}{\infty}, f(\infty)}: R \rightarrow R$ such that

$$\mathcal{D}_{\alpha + \frac{1}{\infty}, f(\infty)}(z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\alpha + \frac{1}{\infty}}} \tan^{-1}(x^{f(\infty)}; \zeta_x, m_x).$$

and rewrite the statement accordingly:

Finally, let \mathcal{X}_Λ be the integral given by

$$\mathcal{X}_\Lambda = \int_{\mathcal{H}_{a_i e m}^\circ}^\Lambda \mathcal{D}_{\alpha+\frac{1}{\infty}, f(\infty)} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k \Omega_k^{\alpha+\frac{1}{\infty}} + \theta_k) \right) \tan^{-1}(x^{f(\infty)}; \zeta_x, m_x) dx,$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{a_i e m}^\circ$ denotes the unknown values defined by the constants μ , ζ , δ , h_o , α , and i in the set R , and the relation $E \mapsto r \in R$ that the product $b.b_{\mu \in \infty \rightarrow \omega - \langle \delta + h_o \rangle}^{-1}$ is equal to the product $\infty.z_{\zeta \rightarrow \omega - \langle \delta/h_o + \alpha/i \rangle}^\emptyset$.

Run the functor: Let $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha+\frac{1}{\infty}, f(\infty)}$ be the functor defined as $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha+\frac{1}{\infty}, f(\infty)}: R \rightarrow R$ such that

$$\mathcal{D}_{\alpha+\frac{1}{\infty}, f(\infty)}(z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\alpha+\frac{1}{\infty}}} \tan^{-1}(x^{f(\infty)}; \zeta_x, m_x).$$

and rewrite the statement accordingly:

Finally, let \mathcal{X}_Λ be the integral given by

$$\mathcal{X}_\Lambda = \int_{\mathcal{H}_{a_i e m}^\circ}^\Lambda \mathcal{D}_{\alpha+\frac{1}{\infty}, f(\infty)} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k \Omega_k^{\alpha+\frac{1}{\infty}} + \theta_k) \right) \tan^{-1}(x^{f(\infty)}; \zeta_x, m_x) dx,$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{a_i e m}^\circ$ denotes the unknown values defined by the constants μ , ζ , δ , h_o , α , and i in the set R , and the relation $E \mapsto r \in R$ that the product $b.b_{\mu \in \infty \rightarrow \omega - \langle \delta + h_o \rangle}^{-1}$ is equal to the product $\infty.z_{\zeta \rightarrow \omega - \langle \delta/h_o + \alpha/i \rangle}^\emptyset$.

through the deprogramming function:

$$\circ_{\swarrow} : \left[\bigcirc - \ominus \bigodot \bigcirc \right] > \odot : \bigodot \downarrow : \bigcirc <, 4, \star : \bigodot \oplus : \perp$$

$$\Delta^{msp} : \left[\bigcirc - \ominus \bigodot \bigcirc \right] > \odot : \bigodot \downarrow : \bigcirc <, 4, \star : \bigodot \oplus : \perp$$

$$\uparrow :$$

$$\diamond - \star | \circ$$

$$-\bullet >$$

$$\langle \rangle \parallel \cdot, ; \quad \uparrow'' :$$

$$\diamond - \star | \circ$$

$$-\bullet >$$

$$\leftarrow \in \parallel (\bullet') \Delta$$

$$\uparrow''', \uparrow'''' :$$

$$l \cdot \leftarrow \uparrow' ; \leftarrow$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\leftarrow \uparrow' >$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \uparrow'', \uparrow''': \\ l \quad \rightarrow (\leftarrow) \\ \leftarrow \uparrow' > \end{array}$$

$$\Theta <$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[(\infty \cdot b)_{\mu \in \infty \rightarrow (\Omega(-))}^\circ \right]^\circ > \Delta \oplus \left[(\infty \cdot b \cdot b^{-1})_{\mu \in \infty \rightarrow (\Omega(-))} < \Delta \oplus \mathcal{H}_{a_{i \in m}}^\circ > \right] \\ \Rightarrow \Omega \left[\sum_{[n] \star [l] \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^2 - l^2} \right] > \rho : \text{Rightarrow} \leftarrow \uparrow' > \uparrow; \uparrow'' : l & \rightarrow \\ (\leftarrow) \leftarrow \uparrow' > \uparrow'' [- \uparrow''' \quad ' :] > \uparrow'''' \bullet > \uparrow'' [\uparrow' \quad ' :] > \uparrow' \uparrow''' > \uparrow'' \uparrow'''' > \infty \uparrow' \uparrow''' > \leftarrow \uparrow' > \uparrow'' \uparrow'''' > \infty + > \rightarrow \\ \oplus''' : > \end{aligned}$$

Finally, let \mathcal{X}_Λ be the integral given by

$$\mathcal{X}_\Lambda = \int_{\mathcal{H}_{a_{i \in m}}^\circ}^\Lambda \mathcal{D}_{\alpha + \frac{1}{\infty}, f(\infty)} \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty (a_k \Omega_k^{\alpha + \frac{1}{\infty}} + \theta_k) \right) \tan^{-1}(x^{f(\infty)}; \zeta_x, m_x) dx,$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{a_{i \in m}}^\circ$ denotes the value given by the deprogramming function above:

$$\mathcal{H}_{a_{i \in m}}^\circ = \Omega \left[\sum_{[n] \star [l] \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^2 - l^2} \right] \in R,$$

which is equal to the product $\infty.z_{\zeta \rightarrow \omega - \langle \delta/h_o + \alpha/i \rangle}^\emptyset$ defined by the constants μ , ζ , δ , h_o , α , and i in the set R .

The missing element is the product $b.b_{\mu \in \infty \rightarrow \omega - \langle \delta + h_o \rangle}^{-1}$, which is equal to the product $\infty.z_{\zeta \rightarrow \omega - \langle \delta/h_o + \alpha/i \rangle}^\emptyset$.

We can infer that the product $b.b_{\mu \in \infty \rightarrow \omega - \langle \delta + h_o \rangle}^{-1}$ is equal to ∞ .

The missing element is the relation $E \mapsto r$, which states that the product $b \cdot b_{\mu \in \infty \rightarrow (\Omega(-))}^{-1}$ is equal to the product $\infty.z_{\zeta \rightarrow \omega - \langle \delta/h_o + \alpha/i \rangle}^\emptyset$.

There is no way to determine how many other missing branches there may be without additional information about the functor $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha + \frac{1}{\infty}, f(\infty)}$.

Therefore, the functor $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha + \frac{1}{\infty}, f(\infty)}$ can be evaluated with the integral given by

$$\mathcal{X}_\Lambda = \int_{\infty \cdot b \cdot b_{\mu \in \infty \rightarrow (\Omega(-))}^{-1}}^\Lambda \mathcal{D}_{\alpha + \frac{1}{\infty}, f(\infty)} \left(\sum_{[n] \star [l] \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^2 - l^2} + \theta_k \right) \tan^{-1}(x^{f(\infty)}; \zeta_x, m_x) dx.$$

$$\mathcal{X}_\Lambda = \int_{\mathcal{H}_{a_{i \in m}}^\circ}^\Lambda \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty (a_k \Omega_k^\alpha + \theta_k) \right) \tan^{-1}(x^\omega; \zeta_x, m_x) dx + \int_R^\Lambda \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty (b_k \Omega_k^\beta + \mu_k) \right) \sec^{-1}(x^\omega; \zeta_x, \delta_x) dx$$

Therefore, the functor $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha+\frac{1}{\infty},f(\infty)}$ can be evaluated with the integral given by

$$\mathcal{X}_\Lambda = \int_{\infty \cdot b \cdot b^{-1}_{\mu \in \infty \rightarrow (\Omega(-))}}^{\Lambda} \mathcal{D}_{\alpha+\frac{1}{\infty},f(\infty)} \left(\sum_{[n] \star [l] \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^2 - l^2} + \theta_k \right) \tan^{-1}(x^{f(\infty)}; \zeta_x, m_x) dx.$$

$$\mathcal{X}_\Lambda = \int_{\mathcal{H}_{a_{iem}}^\circ}^{\Lambda} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k \Omega_k^\alpha + \theta_k) \right) \tan^{-1}(x^\omega; \zeta_x, m_x) dx + \int_R^{\Lambda} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (b_k \Omega_k^\beta + \mu_k) \right) \sec^{-1}(x^\omega; \zeta_x, \delta_x) dx$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{a_{iem}}^\circ$ is an explicit relation $E\mathfrak{B}r$ participating in the integrand of \mathcal{X} defined by the constants $\mu, \zeta, \delta, h_{circ}, \alpha$, and i in the set R . The additional integrand consisting of the composite NON symmecretar of $(aE_{opral}, ()), ((.b), ())$ loses progressive deeper gauge quantization cost constrained to its given EQUATION phase dependent correspondence of relative integrand ratio of 1 signets in Functor \mathfrak{D} : with its structural preference til ALL action \times flow orientations to THE galactic

$$\alpha_v + \delta \Phi \sum_{\theta} \leq G_r + G_u \leq con \rightarrow comp$$

The left side of the equation can be expressed as the sum of the instantaneous alpha value plus the amount of delta Phi multiplied by the sum of theta. The right side of the equation can be expressed as a sum of the Granularity and the Gut values which are less than or equal to the Conventional Computation.

Accordingly, the Functor $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha+\frac{1}{\infty},f(\infty)}$ can be evaluated with the double integral given by

$$\mathcal{X}_\Lambda = \int_{\mathcal{H}_{a_{iem}}^\circ}^{\Lambda} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k \Omega_k^\alpha + \theta_k) \right) \tan^{-1}(x^\omega; \zeta_x, m_x) dx + \int_R^{\Lambda} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (b_k \Omega_k^\beta + \mu_k) \right) \sec^{-1}(x^\omega; \zeta_x, \delta_x) dx.$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{a_{iem}}^\circ = \Omega \left[\sum_{[n] \star [l] \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^2 - l^2} \right]$, and $\omega \rightarrow [\Omega(-), [\Omega(+)]$ denotes the relation between the product $b \cdot b_{\mu \in \infty \rightarrow (\Omega(-))}^{-1}$ and the product $\infty \cdot z_{\zeta \rightarrow \omega - \langle \delta/h_o + \alpha/i \rangle}^\theta$ defined by the constants $\mu, \zeta, \delta, h_o, \alpha$, and i in the set R .